

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/461,265	12/15/1999	NEIL MASON	CS1061#SP	3583
75	90 08/26/2003			
	IAPIRO-TW199 EXAMINER			NER
PATENT DEPARTMENT THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION			GOODMAN, CHARLES	
701 EAST JOP TOWNSON, M	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
10 1110011, 111	21200		3724	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/461,265	MASON, NEIL	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the same of the control of	ation. A proper reply	y to a tion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)</li> </ul>	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		эррээл	
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below)	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,,	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	<b>,</b> .	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmented explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-19</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 12.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11, 13 and 14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		1 -
10. Other:		Charles Sh	nfuer-
		CHARLES GOC	DMA

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) PRIMARY EXAMINE

\*Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/461,265





Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claim 1, e.g., the phrases "power tool shaft" and "the motor in the power tool" were not earlier presented and requires further consideration; thus, raising new issues..